

Staff Report

To: Economic Development Commission Members

From: Krista Linke, Director

Date: June 6, 2013

Re: Case EDC 2013-08 – NSK Corporation

Case EDC 2013-08 – NSK Corporation: A request for a 10-year tax abatement on \$20,000,000 of personal property investment.

Location: 3400 Bearing Drive

Summary:

1. Characteristics of this location:

Existing Location - 3400 Bearing Drive

Characteristics of this petitioner:

The NSK Corporation Bearing Plant sells automotive bearing products for the automotive and industrial markets. This includes wheel hub and taper roller bearings. The Franklin Bearing Plant initially started in 1991 as a machining center. In 1993, it expanded, adding grinding and assembly operations for generation hub I, II, III, and taper roller bearings. The Franklin facility includes a distribution center handing incoming raw materials and shipping of finished goods. Corporate support functions, such as sales, marketing, application engineering, customer service, and administrative/financial functions, are located in Ann Arbor, Michigan. NSK has over 80 years of experience in design, development, and manufacturing of nearly every kind of anti-friction bearing.

3. Characteristics of this project:

The proposed project will give NSK Corporation additional manufacturing capacity for Taper Roller Bearings and current manufacturing expansion/upgrades to allow NSK Franklin to stay competitive in the market and reinforce the current job base.

4. Economic Revitalization Area (ERA):

This property was designated an ERA by Resolution 2004-02 and confirmed by Resolution 2004-03, but it expired in 2011. It needs to be declared an Economic Revitalization Area.

5. ERA & Tax Abatements Findings (Personal Property):

Indiana Code Section 6-1.1-12.1-4.5 states that the following findings must be made when considering an ERA designation and the granting of tax abatement for personal property:

a. Whether the estimate of the cost of new manufacturing equipment is reasonable for equipment of that type;

- Whether the estimate of the number of individuals who will be employed or whose employment will be retained can be reasonably expected to result from the instillation of new manufacturing equipment;
- c. Whether the estimate of annual salaries of those individuals who will be employed or whose employment will be retained can be reasonably expected to result from the installation of the new manufacturing equipment;
- d. Whether any other benefits about which information was requested are benefits that can be reasonably expected to result from the installation of the new manufacturing equipment; and
- e. Whether the totality of the benefits is sufficient to justify the tax abatement.

6. City of Franklin "Tax Abatement Policy" criteria:

The "Tax Abatement Policy" section of the *City of Franklin Community Investment Incentives*Summary states that the Economic Development Commission shall use certain criteria when considering a request for tax abatement. A comparison of those criteria and the proposed request follows:

- a. Diversification of Local Occupations: In 2012, 10.8% of all jobs in Johnson County were in the manufacturing sector. There were 4,694 manufacturing employees in Johnson County and 1,017 of them were machine fabricated metal product manufacturing employees. NSK Corporation will retain 258 employees and convert an additional 18 temporary employees to full time.
- b. Diversification of Local Manufacturing Employment: According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 2011 County Business Patterns, fabricated metal manufacturing makes up 21.7% of the manufacturing jobs in Johnson County. According to the United States Census Bureau, there were 133 manufacturing establishments in Johnson County in 2012, and 33 of them were fabricated metal product manufacturing establishments.
- c. Increase in Local Salaries: The average wage for all industries in Johnson County for the first quarter of 2011 was \$14.98. The average hourly wage in Johnson County for manufacturing in the first quarter of 2011 was \$24.00 per hour. The average hourly wage (without benefits) for the 18 temporary jobs being converted to full time jobs is \$15.38 (\$576,000 divided by 18 jobs, divided by 52 weeks, divided by 40 hours per week). The average hourly wage for the 258 jobs being retained is \$31.03 (\$16,650,000 divided by 258 jobs, divided by 52 weeks, divided by 40 hours per week).
- d. Sustainable Land Use: The petitioner proposes to make this investment at their current location.
- e. Future Community Investment: The Company has indicated on their applications that they are agreeable to a 5% Economic Development Fee on Personal Property.
- f. Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan: The Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Plan identifies this property as Manufacturing. Manufacturing areas are intended to accommodate large scale businesses that produce finished products from raw materials. Uses in these areas may include product manufacturers as well as any related warehousing and offices. Manufacturing areas may include facilities that involve emissions or the outdoor storage of materials and finished products. These two factors are the primary distinction between manufacturing areas and light industrial areas.

EDC Case # 2013-08 Page 2

The property is zoned IG, Industrial: General. The "IG," Industrial: General zoning district is intended to provide locations for general industrial manufacturing, production, assembly, warehousing, research and development facilities, and similar land uses. This district is intended to accommodate a variety of industrial uses in locations and under conditions that minimize land use conflicts. This district should be used to support industrial retention and expansion in Franklin.

7. Tax Abatement Duration:

The City of Franklin Community Investment Incentives Summary provides that longer periods of abatement on real and personal property may be considered for requests of an exceptional nature. The Summary states that development examples of an exceptional nature include projects which:

- a. Create a new plant or product line for an existing manufacturer;
- b. Creates substantial employment opportunities with higher than average wages;
- c. Increase substantially property values and the city tax base with minimal impact to city services (police & fire protection, schools, utilities, infrastructure, etc.); and
- d. Utilize existing public infrastructure (sanitary & storm sewer, roads & streets, drainage facilities, and other utilities).

8. Tax Abatement Worksheet:

A copy of the Tax Abatement Worksheet from the *City of Franklin Community Investment Incentives*Summary is enclosed with the staff report. This document is recommended as an outline for considering and documenting these tax abatement requests for this meeting.

9. Requested Effective Year:

The petitioner has requested that, if approved, the tax abatement be effective for the tax year 2014, payable 2015.

Staff Comments:

Making use of an existing facility and strengthening the viability of an existing company within the City of Franklin's economy.

EDC Case # 2013-08 Page 3



CITY OF FRANKLIN

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Tax Abatement Application

13-19

Organization/Corporation Requesting Tax Abatement	t		
Organization/Corporation Name: NSK CORPORATIO	N Hub		
Primary Contact Name: KEITH WASILENSKI - SR. TAX	X ACCOUNTANT		
Contact Address: 4200 GOSS ROAD			
City: ANN ARBOR	State: MI	Zip: 4810	5
Phone Number: (734) 913-7588			
Email: wasilek@nsk-corp.com			
Three possible dates before the EDC			
meeting to conduct a site visit:			
Name of Owner: NSK AMERICAS, INC.		2 4037 - 2500 - 2	
Parent Company (If Applicable):			30%
Primary Contact for Yearly Compliance Reports			
Name: JOE STARK			
Title: ACCOUNTING MANAGER			
Address: 3400 BEARING DRIVE			
City: FRANKLIN	State: IN	Zip: 4613	1
Phone Number: (317) 738-5000			
Email: starkj@nsk-corp.com		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Description of Project			
Project Location/Address: 3400 BEARING DRIVE			
Parcel Number: 41-99-31-001-112.000-009			
Brief Description of Project:			
Additional manufacturing capacity for Taper Roller Be to allow NSK Franklin to stay competitive in the mark			<u>jrades</u>
Current Assessed Value (AV) of the Property:			· ·
1. Land			
2. Building \$7,029,300.00		241	
3. Inventory	VIII.		
4. Equipment \$21,852,000.00			
Have building permits been applied for (if applicable):	Yes O No O		
Has equipment been installed (if applicable):	Yes O No O		
No. of P. Commission of the Co			
Required Attachments:			
Completed SB-1 Form(s)	☐ Summary of Benefits (if a	pplicable)	~
Legal Description of the Property	Employment Phase-In Sci	nedule	
Company Financial Statement	Company Investment Tim	netable	
Inh and Wage Description Information Sheet	Compliance Affidavit		

Type of Abatement Requested		
Real Property 🗸	Personal Proper	ty 🗸
Project Details		V
Project Size (square feet): 50,000	Size of Site (acre	es): 34
Type of Building:		
Multiple Tenants (leased) Single	Tenant (leased) Owner Occupied	Corporate Headquarters
Capital Investment		
1. Real property capital investmen	t only:	
2. Personal property capital invest	ment only: \$20,000,000.00	
3. Total capital investment for pro	posed project: \$20,000,000.00	***
Jobs Created and/or Retained		
1. Estimated number of full time id	bbs created by the proposed project:	18
VIDE SCIENCE NO. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	bbs retained as a direct result of the p	
Total number of full time jobs u	1980 S	258
Wages Created and Retained	on project completion.	
Average hourly wage rate for ne	w jobs (w/o hanefits)	\$14.50
Average hourly wage rate for joint of the second seco	Participant in Partic	\$19.78
***In addition to answering these quest		
Application information sheet and subm) 프로그 시간 (프로그리트 프로그램 150 MB) - 16 - 150 MB) (10 MB)
Please explain why the abatement incer		ment.
Global pricing and distribution of ou		ower prices and higher cutout
reductions of expenses will allow us		
reductions of expenses will allow de	to stay competitive in the mark	et and retain jobs in Franklin.
Company Information		
How long has the company been in exist	ence? 39 YEARS	
Current address of company headquarte		0 YEAR AT CURRENT LOCATION
NSK CORPORATION, 4200 GOS	TACLES AND THE PROPERTY OF THE	O TEAKAT CONNENT ECCATION
110K 00K 01X110K, 4200 000K	TID, ANN ANDON, WI 40103	
Approximate percentage of employees a	t current location who live in the	
City of Franklin and/or Johnson County:	it current location who live in the	54%
Have you ever received tax abatement a	t your current location? Yes 💽	No O
If yes, when and for what term?	10/21/2010 FOR A PERIOD OF 10 YE	
What specifically has the company done		
to give back to the community:	Recently donated property adjacent	
to give back to the community.	could build a fire sub station. Emplo community organizations and events	
While acting as a strong advocate for usi		
community, the City of Franklin also striv		
embraces the use of voluntary economic		
These fees, paid by the applicant, are dir		
economic development efforts. The fee		
is collected annually by the County Treas		
to the designated economic developmen		
5% is charged on Personal Property. Mor		
under the Economic Development tab.		
Is the company agreeable to the Econom	ic Development Fee? Yes • N	4o 🔘
If yes, at what percent(s)?	2% for Real Property and 5% for Perso	nal Property covered under abatements.

STATEMENT OF BENEFITS PERSONAL PROPERTY State Form 51764 (R2 / 12-41)

State Form 51764 (R2 / 12-11) .
Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance

FORM SB-1/PP

PRIVACY NOTICE

The cost and any specific individual's salary information is confidential; the balance of the filing is public record per IC 6-1,1-12,1-5.1 (c) and (d).

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. This statement must be submitted to the body designating the Economic Revitalization Area prior to the public hearing if the designating body requires information from the applicant in making its decision about whether to designate an Economic Revitalization Area. Otherwise this statement must be submitted to the designating body **BEFORE** a person installs the new manufacturing equipment and/or research and development equipment, and/or logistical distribution equipment and/or information technology equipment for which the person wishes to claim a deduction. "Projects" planned or committed to after July 1, 1987, and areas designated after July 1, 1987, require a STATEMENT OF BENEFITS. (IC 6-1.1-12.1)
- Approval of the designating body (City Council, Town Board, County Council, etc.) must be obtained prior to installation of the new manufacturing equipment and/or research and development equipment and/or logistical distribution equipment and/or information technology equipment, BEFORE a deduction may be approved
- 3. To obtain a deduction, a person must file a certified deduction schedule with the person's personal property return on a certified deduction schedule (Form 103-ERA) with the township assessor of the township where the property is situated or with the county assessor if there is no township assessor for the township. The 103-ERA must be filed between March 1 and May 15 of the assessment year in which new manufacturing equipment and/or research and development equipment and/or logistical distribution equipment and/or information technology equipment is installed and fully functional, unless a filing extension has been obtained. A person who obtains a filing extension must file the form between March 1 and the extended due date of that year.
- 4. Property owners whose Statement of Benefits was approved after June 30, 1991, must submit Form CF-1 / PP annually to show compliance with the Statement of Benefits. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.6)
- 5. The schedules established under IC 6-1.1-12.1-4.5(d) and (a) apply to aquipment installed after March 1, 2001, unless an alternative deduction schedule is adopted by the designating body (IC 6-1.1-12.1-17).

SECTION 1		7	TAXPAYER	MFORMATI	NO				
Name of taxpayer									
NSK CORPORATI								25 0 0 7	
Address of taxpayer (number									
PO BOX 134007, AN	N ARBOR, MI 48113	3-4007			·····				
Name of contact person							Telephone nun	nber	
ROBERT WOZNIAK		3 2 3		recens 105	Colored Microsophic Property		(734) 913-	7589	
SECTION 2	Li de la companya di	OCATION AN	ID DESCRIPT	ION OF PRO	POSED PRO	JECT			
Name of designating body							Resolution nur	mber (s)	
FRANKLIN CITY COM	MON COUNCIL			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* 				
Location of property				County			DLGF taxing d	istrict numb	per
3400 BEARING DR,					NSON	-			
Description of manufacturi and/or logistical distributio	ing equipment and/or re	search and d	evelopment et	quipment				ESTIMAT	ED
(use additional sheets if ne	ecessary)		rorogy oquipm	OI.C.			START DA	TE CO	OMPLETION DATE
Expansion of Tape	er Roller Lines to	accommod	date new b	usiness	Manufacturir	ng Equipment	04/01/2013	3 (03/31/2016
volumes. We will r	eplace temporary	and part t	time positio		R & D Equip	ment			
fulltime. Increases increase production				n (d) in	Logist Dist E	quipment			
morease production	n output and man	main jou n	विश्व ।। । । ।	iniii.	IT Equipmen	t			
SECTION 3	ESTIMATE OF	EMPLOYEE	S AND SALA	DIEG AG BEG	III z oż peo	POSED DPO	IFOT.		
	LOTHING OF	#	1	We have	OL OF FIXO	LOSED LICO			
Current number	Salaries	Number	retained	Salaries		Number	Iditional	Colorina	
Current number 258	Selaries 16.650.000.00		retained	Salaries 576.00	nn nn	Number ad	lditional	Salaries	
258	16,650,000.00	18		576,00			lditional	Salaries	
258 SECTION 4	16,650,000.00	18 MATED FORM MANUFA	IL GOST AND ICTURING	576,00 VALUE OF F	00.00 Roposed P UIPMENT	ROJECT LOGIS	T DIST		QUIPMENT
258	16,650,000.00 ESTILI 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the	18 MATED FORM MANUFA	ASSESSED	576,00 VALUE OF F	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP	T DIST MENT ASSESSED) ITE	QUIPMENT
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c	16,650,000.00 ESTILI 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the	18 WATED FOTO MANUFA EQUID COST	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE	576,00 VALUE OF F	PROPOSED P UIPMENT	ROJECT LOGIS	T DIST MENT		
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c	16,650,000.00 ESTII 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential.	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE 21,852,000.00	576,00 VALUE OF F	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP	T DIST MENT ASSESSED) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p	16,650,000.00 ESTIII 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential.	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000.000.00	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE 21,852,000.00 6,000,000.00	576,00 VALUE OF F	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP	T DIST MENT ASSESSED) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is of Current values Plus estimated values of pursuant values of any property is continuous.	16,650,000.00 ESTIF	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00	ASSESSED VALUE 21,852,000.00 6,000.000.00	576,00 VALUE OF F	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP	T DIST MENT ASSESSED) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upon	16,650,000.00 ESTIF 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced a completion of project	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00	ALUE 21,852,000.00 (27,252,000.00 (2	576,00	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upon SECTION 5	16,650,000.00 ESTIII 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced a completion of project WASTE CO	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00	ALUE 21,852,000.00 (27,252,000.00 (2	576,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE DMISED BY T	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upon	16,650,000.00 ESTIII 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced a completion of project WASTE CO	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00	ALUE 21,852,000.00 (27,252,000.00 (2	576,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upon SECTION 5	16,650,000.00 ESTIII 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced a completion of project WASTE CO	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00	ALUE 21,852,000.00 (27,252,000.00 (2	576,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE DMISED BY T	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upor SECTION 5 Estimated solid waste con	16,650,000.00 ESTIII 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced a completion of project WASTE CO	18 MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00	ALUE 21,852,000.00 (27,252,000.00 (2	576,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE DMISED BY T	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upor SECTION 5 Estimated solid waste con	16,650,000.00 ESTITUTE 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the confidential. proposed project ty being replaced a completion of project WASTE COnverted (pounds)	18 MATED FOTA MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00 NVERTED A	AXEAYER O	576,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST ENSETS PRO Estimated ha	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE DMISED BY T azardous was	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is of Current values Plus estimated values of pursuant to IC 6-1 Less values of any property is continued to IC 6-1 Less values of any property is co	16,650,000.00 ESTITUTE 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced in completion of project WASTE COnverted (pounds)	18 MATED FOTA MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00 NVERTED A	AXEAYER O	576,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST ENSETS PRO Estimated ha	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE DMISED BY T azardous was	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE	T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE) ITE	ASSESSED
258 SECTION 4 NOTE: Pursuant to IC 6-1 COST of the property is c Current values Plus estimated values of p Less values of any proper Net estimated values upor SECTION 5 Estimated solid waste con Other benefits:	16,650,000.00 ESTITUTE 1.1-12.1-5.1 (d) (2) the onfidential. proposed project ty being replaced in completion of project WASTE COnverted (pounds)	18 MATED FOTA MANUFA EQUII COST 101,625,000.00 20,000,000.00 1,000,000.00 120,625,000.00 NVERTED A	AXEAYER O	S76,00 VALUE OF F R & D EQ COST ENERTIFICATION COST CERTIFICATION COST Title	ROPOSED P UIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE DMISED BY T azardous was	ROJECT LOGIS EQUIP COST HE TAXPAYE Re converted (T DIST MENT ASSESSED VALUE Pounds) Date signed (mo	COST	ASSESSED VALUE

FOR USE OF THE D	ESIGNATING BODY
We have reviewed our prior actions relating to the designation of this econom adopted in the resolution previously approved by this body. Said resolution authorized under IC 6-1.1-12.1-2.	n, passed under IC 6-1.1-12.1-2.5, provides for the following limitations as
A . The designated area has been limited to a period of time not to exceed is	calendar years * (see below). The date this designation expires
 B . The type of deduction that is allowed in the designated area is limited to: 1. Installation of new manufacturing equipment; 2. Installation of new research and development equipment; 3. Installation of new logistical distribution equipment. 4. Installation of new information technology equipment; 	 ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
C. The amount of deduction applicable to new manufacturing equipment is li	mited to \$ cost with an assessed value of
D. The amount of deduction applicable to new research and development ed	quipment is limited to \$ cost with an assessed value of
E . The amount of deduction applicable to new logistical distribution equipmes \$	
The amount of deduction applicable to new information technology equips Other limitations or conditions (specify)	
	d development equipment and/or new logistical distribution equipment and/or
☐ 1 year ☐ 6 years ** For ERA's established	ed prior to July 1, 2000, <u>only</u> a le may be deducted.
 Did the designating body adopt an alternative deduction schedule per IC If yes, attach a copy of the alternative deduction schedule to this form. 	
Also we have reviewed the information contained in the statement of benefits determined that the totality of benefits is sufficient to justify the deduction des	s and find that the estimates and expectations are reasonable and have scribed above.
Approved. (signature and title of authorized member)	Talephone number Date signed (month, day, year)
Attested by:	Designated body

* If the designating body limits the time period during which an area is an economic revitalization area, it does not limit the length of time a taxpayer is entitled to receive a deduction to a number of years designated under IC 6-1.1-12.1-4.5

JOB AND WAGE DESCRIPTION FOR TAX ABATEMENT APPLICATION

Please provide the following job and wage earning information that is associated with this Tax Abatement Petition:

- 1. Company NAICS code: 332991.
- 2. The total number of jobs current at the site is 258 as of March 2013, of that the number of those jobs that will be created as a direct result of the proposed investment will be 18.
- Total number of full time employees:
- 4. Total number of temporary/contract employees: 47
- 5. The current average hourly wages for the existing jobs: \$19.78
- 6. Number of new and/or retained jobs in:
 - a. Managerial/Professional Specialty Occ.:
 b. Technical/Sales/Admin. Support Occ.:
 c. Service Occ.:
 Average Hourly Wage:
 Average Hourly Wage:
 - d. Precision Production/Craft/Repair Occ.: Average Hourly Wage:
 - e. Operators/Fabricators/Laborers: 18 Average Hourly Wage: \$14.50
- 7. Will the new jobs being created being as temporary and/or contract employees? Yes. If Yes, please provide explanation of the typical transition process to full time: Temporary labor will be brought in through a third party staffing company. Over a 3 month period, employees will be trained and evaluated and discussions will occur on if an employee should be brought on full time based on market and plant conditions.

Note: The total number of jobs specified above should correspond with the Statement of Benefits Form SB-1.

In addition to the above hourly wages, NSK provides the following benefits, bonuses and incentives to its employees.

Vacation: Based on length of service with company
 Holidays: 13 Paid Holidays (3 designated as floating)

3. Health, Dental, AD&D, Life&

Disability, Vision Insurance: Company participates
4. 401k Plan: Company participates

5. Bonus Plan: Annual incentive plan for all employees

Flexible Spending Accounts: Health and Dependent Care accounts maintained pre-tax
 Employee Assistance: Employees & family assistance for personal issues at no cost

Time Tabl	Time Table for Equipment and Labor	ment and	Labor	
	NSK Corporation	ration		
Calendar Quarter	Equipment \$	Labor Hires	Labor Retained	Notes
ر 100 سال المال				Expansion of TRB for line 6, TRB efficiencies
znd Quarter 2013	\$ 2,200,000	4		and changes to stay competitive
3rd Quarter 2013	\$ 2,000,000	4		
4th Quarter 2013	\$ 1,500,000	0		
1st Quarter 2014	\$ 1,500,000	T		
				M/C refresh and efficiencies to stay
2nd Quarter 2014	\$ 1,500,000	4		competitive
3rd Quarter 2014	\$ 1,500,000	4		
4th Quarter 2014	\$ 1,500,000	-2		
1st Quarter 2015	\$ 1,500,000	0		
				Expansion of TRB for line 7, M/C efficiencies
2nd Quarter 2015	\$ 1,000,000	3		to stay competitive
3rd Quarter 2015	\$ 2,000,000	2		
4th Quarter 2015	\$ 2,300,000	0		
1st Quarter 2016	\$ 1,500,000	-2		
Total	\$ 20,000,000	18	0	

	3 YEAR	1R		
	NSK Corporation	ration		
Sample Property Tax on Personal Property (3 Year)	ty Tax on Pe	rsonal Prop	erty (3 Year)	
Personal Pro	Personal Property Tax Investment: \$20,000,000	estment: \$20	000,000,0	
	Tax Rate: 3.0%	3.0%		
	2014	2014	2015	
	Payable 2015	Payable 2015	Payable 2016	
Cost of Equipment	\$20,000,000	\$20	\$20,000,000	
True Cash Percentage Rate	%59		35%	
True Cash Value	\$13,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$7,000,000	
Net Tax Rate	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	Total
Tax w/o Abatement	\$390,000	\$300,000	\$210,000	\$900,000
Abatement Rate	100%	%99	33%	
Amount Abated	\$390,000	\$198,000	\$69,300	Total
Taxes Paid w/Abatement	\$0	\$102,000	\$140,700	\$242,700
			Tota	Total Fees Paid
5% Fee	\$19,500	006′6\$	\$3,465	\$32,865
Tote	Total Tax Savings without Economic Development Fee	s without Eco	nomic Develo	pment Fee
				\$657,300
Tote	Total Tax Savings with 5% Economic Development Fee	s with 5% Eco	nomic Develo	pment Fee
				\$624,435

		5 YEAR	8			
		NSK Corporation	ration			
Sample	Property Ta	Sample Property Tax on Personal Property (5 Year Period)	al Property	(5 Year Peri	(po	
	Personal Pro	Personal Property Tax Investment: \$20,000,000	estment: \$20	,000,000		
		Tax Rate: 3.0%	3.0%			
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Payable 2015	Payable 2016	Payable 2017	Payable 2018	Payable	
Cost of Equipment	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000 \$20,000,000	\$20	\$20	\$20,000,000	
True Cash Percentage Rate	40%	%95			24%	
True Cash Value	\$8,000,000	\$11,200,000	\$8,400,000	\$6,400,000	\$4,800,000	
Net Tax Rate	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	Tota/
Tax w/o Abatement	\$240,000	\$336,000	\$252,000	\$192,000	\$144,000	########
Abatement Rate	100%	%U8	%09	7007	%OC	
Amount Abated	\$240,000	\$268,800	\$151.200	\$76.800	\$28 800	Total
Taxes Paid w/Abatement	\$0	\$67,200	\$100,800	\$115,200	\$115,200	\$398,400
					Total	Total Fees Paid
5% Fee	\$12,000	\$13,440	\$7,560	\$3,840	\$1,440	\$38,280
		Total	Tax Savings	Total Tax Savings without Economic Development Fee	omic Develo	ment Fee
						\$765,600
		Total	Tax Savings	Total Tax Savings with 5% Economic Development Fee	omic Develop	ment Fee
						\$727,320

Equipment \$20,0 18h Percentage Rat 4 18h Value \$8,00 18h Value \$8,00 18h Value \$24,00 19h Value \$24 10h Abatement \$24 10h WAbatement \$24	Sample Property Tax on Personal Property (7 Year Period) Personal Property (7 Year Period) Personal Property Tax Investment: \$20,000,000 Tax Rate: 3.0% 14 2015 2016 2017 2018 20 15 2016 2017 2018 20 15 2016 2017 2018 20 15 2016 2017 2018 20	NSK Corporation ax on Personal Prop Property Tax Investment: Tax Rate: 3.0%	oration				
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Personal F Personal F 2015 Payable	ax on Perso Property Tax In Tax Rate					
20 Pay Pay 20 \$20,0 \$20,0 \$24 \$24 \$224 \$224 \$224 \$224 \$224 \$224	Personal F 2015 Payable 2016	Property Tax In Tax Rate	onal Propert	ty (7 Year Pe	eriod)		
2014 Payable 2015 \$20,000,000 40% \$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0	2015 Payable 2016	Tax Rate	Personal Property Tax Investment: \$20,000,000	000'000'			
2014 Payable 2015 \$20,000,000 40% \$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0	2015 Payable 2016		Tax Rate: 3.0%				
2014 Payable 2015 \$20,000,000 40% \$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0	2015 Payable 2016						
\$20,000,000 40% \$20,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0	Payable 2016	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
\$20,000,000 40% \$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0	2016	Payable	Payable	Payable	Payable	Payable	
\$20,000,000 40% \$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	
\$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0 \$0	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	
\$8,000,000 3.0% \$240,000 \$240,000 \$0 \$0	26%	42%	32%	24%		15%	
3.0% \$240,000 100% \$240,000 \$0	\$11,200,000	\$8,400,000	\$6,400,000	\$4,800,000	\$3,600,000	\$3,000,000	
\$240,000 100% \$240,000 \$0	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	Total
100% \$240,000 \$0	\$336,000	\$252,000	\$192,000	\$144,000	\$108,000	\$90,000	\$1,362,000
100% \$240,000 \$0							
\$240,000	85%	71%	21%	43%	29%	14%	
)\$	\$285,600	\$178,920	\$109,440	\$61,920	\$31,320	\$12,600	Total
	\$50,400	\$73,080	\$82,560	\$82,080	\$76,680	\$77,400	\$442,200
						Tota	Total Fees Paid
<i>5% Fee</i> \$12,000	\$14,280	\$8,946	\$5,472	\$3,096	\$1,566	\$630	\$45,990
			Tota	Total Tax Savings without Economic Development Fee	s without Eco	nomic Develo	onment Fee
							\$919,800
			ŀ				
			lota	lotal Tax Savings with 5% Economic Development Fee	s with 5% Eco	nomic Develo	pment Fee
							\$873,810

Personal Property Tax on Personal Property (10 Year Period) Personal Property (10 Year Period)					``	10 YEAR						
Sample Property Tax on Personal Property (10 Vear Period) Personal Property Tax Investment: \$20,000,000 Tax Rate: 3.0%					NSK	Corporatio	uc					
Personal Property Tax Investment: \$20,000,000			Sal		rty Tax on F	Personal Pro	perty (10 Y	ear Period)				
Tax Rate: 3.0% 2014 2015 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2022 2022 2023				Perso	nal Property	Tax Investme	ent: \$20,000,0	000				
Payable 2015 Payable 2016 Payable 2019 Payable 2020 Payable 2021 Payable 2021 Payable 2021 Payable 2022 Payable 2022 Payable 2023 Paya					Ē	x Rate: 3.0%						
Paycable 2015 Paycable 2017 Paycable 2017 Paycable 2017 Paycable 2018 Paycable 2018 Paycable 2018 Paycable 2019 Paycable 201												
\$20,000,000 \$20,000 \$20,000		2014 Payable 2015		2016 Payable 2017	2017 Payable 2018		2019 Payable 2020	2020 Payable 2021		2022 Pavable 2023	2023 Payable 2024	
45% 45% 37% 30% 25% 20% 16% 12% \$8,000,000 \$12,000,000 \$9,000,000 \$7,400,000 \$6,000,000 \$5,000,000 \$3,200,000 \$2,400,000 \$240,000 \$30,000 \$270,000 \$222,000 \$180,000 \$120,000 \$3,200,000 \$2,2400,000 \$240,000 \$30,000 \$270,000 \$222,000 \$180,000 \$120,000 \$30,000 \$270,000 \$240,000 \$320,000 \$222,000 \$180,000 \$120,000 \$20,00	Cost of Equipment	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000	
\$8,000,000 \$12,000,000 \$11,000,000 \$5,400,000 \$5,400,000 \$5,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,400,000 \$2,200,000	True Cash Percentage Rate	40%	%09	25%	45%	37%	30%	25%	70%	16%	12%	
3.0% 3.0% <td< td=""><td>True Cash Value</td><td>\$8,000,000</td><td>\$12,000,000</td><td>\$11,000,000</td><td></td><td>\$7,400,000</td><td>\$6,000,000</td><td>\$5,000,000</td><td>_</td><td>\$3,200,000</td><td>\$2,400,000</td><td></td></td<>	True Cash Value	\$8,000,000	\$12,000,000	\$11,000,000		\$7,400,000	\$6,000,000	\$5,000,000	_	\$3,200,000	\$2,400,000	
\$240,000 \$330,000 \$270,000 \$120,000 \$120,000 \$120,000 \$96,000 \$72,000 \$240,000 \$324,000 \$264,000 \$189,000 \$133,200 \$60,000 \$30,000 \$36,000 \$19,200 \$7200 \$240,000 \$324,000 \$264,000 \$189,000 \$133,200 \$88,800 \$90,000 \$34,000 \$76,800 \$72,000 \$12,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$9,450 \$6,660 \$4,500 \$3,000 \$1,800 \$360 \$12,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$6,660 \$6,660 \$4,500 \$3,000 \$1,800 \$360 \$12,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$6,660 \$4,500 \$3,000 \$1,800 \$360 \$12,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$2,450 \$2,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$360 \$360	Net Tax Rate	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	_	3.0%	3.0%	Total
100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 5240,000 \$324,000 \$324,000 \$366,000 \$38,800 \$36,000 \$36	Tax w/o Abatement	\$240,000	\$360,000	\$330,000	\$270,000	\$222,000	\$180,000	\$150,000	\$120,000	\$96,000	\$72,000	\$2,040,000
\$100% \$90% \$100%	Abatomont Bato	1000	,,,,,	2000	Î							
\$240,000 \$324,000 \$189,000 \$133,200 \$90,000 \$60,000 \$36,000 \$19,200 \$7,200 \$7,200	Abatement kate	*00T	30%	%0%	%0/	%09	20%	40%	30%	20%	10%	
\$0 \$36,000 \$66,000 \$81,000 \$88,800 \$90,000 \$90,000 \$76,800 \$64,800 \$70,000 \$10,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$9,450 \$6,660 \$4,500 \$3,000 \$1,800 \$9,900 \$3360 \$3360 \$10,000 \$10,0	Amount Abated	\$240,000	\$324,000	\$264,000	\$189,000	\$133,200	\$90,000	\$60,000	\$36,000	\$19,200	\$7,200	Total
\$12,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$9,450 \$6,660 \$4,500 \$3,000	Tax Paid w/Abatement	\$0	\$36,000	\$66,000	\$81,000	\$88,800	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$84,000	\$76,800	\$64,800	\$677,400
\$12,000 \$16,200 \$13,200 \$9,450 \$6,660 \$4,500 \$3,000											Tot	I Foot Daid
Total Tax Savings without Economic Developms \$1,5	5% Fee	\$12,000	\$16,200	\$13,200	\$9,450	\$6,660	\$4,500	\$3,000	\$1,800	096\$		\$68,130
Total Tax Savings without Economic Development												
\$1,3 Total Tax Savings with 5% Economic Developme								Tote	al Tax Saving	s without Ecc	nomic Develo	opment Fee
Total Tax Savings with 5% Economic Developme \$1,2												\$1,362,600
lotal Tax Savings with 5% Economic Developme \$1,2								i				
\$1,2								Toto	al Tax Saving	s with 5% Ecc	nomic Develo	opment Fee
												\$1,294,470

TAX ABATEMENT WORKSHEET

EDC Case #	2013-08		_
Name of Applican	nt: NSK Corporation		_
Name of Represe	ntative(s) Keith Wasilenski, Sr. Tax Accountai	nt	_
Dates of EDC Mee	eting(s) June 11 th , 2013		_
City Council Resol	lution #2013-20 & 2013-21		
Date of City Counc	cil Introduction: June 17 th , 2013		_
Date of City Counc	cil Public Hearing: July 1 st , 2013		-
I. Application to E	DC	Circle One	
are outlined in t	orms, plats, schedules, filing fees, etc. which the EDC Procedures and Requirements have timely manner with the EDC.	Y N legal	description
	the Statements of Benefits form SB-1 have been han entry in each section and box.	Y N	
	ent schedules for all possible tax abatement a down for each individual year, have been	Y N	
	edules have been broken down into quarterly nout the <i>entire</i> tax abatement periods.	Y N	
	e from the company requesting the tax resent at the EDC meeting.	Y N	
comply with the statement of Be of the Common the redevelopm to the installation	hus far complied with and shall continue to e "prior approval" requirement of the enefits from SB-1, which states that "Approval a Council must be obtained prior to initiation of nent or rehabilitation (of real property) or prior on of new manufacturing equipment BEFORE a be approved." If not, explain	Y	

II. Economic Revitalization Area (ERA)		
 The project area of the present request is currently designated as either an economic revitalization area (ERA) or an economic development target area (EDTA). If so, complete items A, B, and C and go to Section III. 		N
 The project area has been designated as an ERA or EDTA (circle one). 		
b) The designation was made under Resolution No:		
c) The designation is due to expire in (year)		
2) If the project area is not presently designated as either an ERA or an EDTA, list the condition(s) which exist that make the project area undesirable for or impossible of normal development.		
3) Based upon these findings, the EDC recommends that the project area be designated as an ERA or EDTA.	Υ	N
III. Economic Inducement and Employment		
 If approved, granting the present tax abatement serves as an economic inducement for one of the following economic development activities: development of a new facility; expansion of an existing facility or retention of an exiting facility. 	Y	N
2) If approved, granting the present tax abatement serves as an employment mechanism to either create or retain jobs.	Υ	N
IV. Tax Abatement Periods		
1) Real Property Improvements		
a) Applicant is seeking tax abatement on real property improvements.	Υ	\bigcirc N

1	 If so, the tax abatement period being requested is for years. 		
(Based upon all of the information provided by the applicant concerning these Guidelines and all of the criteria shown in the Tax Abatement Policy of Franklin, Indiana, the EDC recommends granting the following tax Abatement period for real property improvements:		
2)	New Machinery and Equipment		
ā) Applicant is seeking tax abatement on new machinery and equipment.	Y	N
k) If so, the tax abatement period being requested is for <u>/0</u> years.		
c	Based upon all of the information provided by the applicant concerning these Guidelines and all of the criteria shown in the Tax Abatement Policy of Franklin, Indiana, the EDC recommends granting the following tax Abatement period for new machinery and equipment for years.		
V. T	ermination of ERA Designation and Tax Abatement Periods		
a a t	the EDC recommends that the ERA designation for the project area (a) runs concurrently with the longer of the two tax abatement periods show above in Section IV, and (b) erminates upon the same termination date as the ermination date of the longer of the two tax abatement periods shown in Section IV above.	Y	N
f e	he EDC further recommends that the tax abatement periods or both real property improvements and new machinery and quipment automatically expire upon the predetermined ermination date set for each respective tax abatement.	Υ	N
tl	he EDC further recommends that the termination date for ne purchase and installation of the new machinery and quipment shall be		

1)	A representative from the company requesting the tax abatement is present at the Common Council meetings.	Υ	N
2)	Applicant has submitted:		
	 a) Company's financial statement as of the end of the last fiscal year. 	Υ	N
	b) A notarized statement attesting to the fact that (1) the project will pose no environmental hazards to the community, and (2) the company will comply with all of the following municipal codes and ordinances, including zoning, site plan reviews and permitting requirements.	Y	N
VII. Certification			
	A copy of this worksheet, completed by the EDC at a public meeting held on the// day of		
	<u>2013</u> , has been completed and forwarded to the Common Council for further proceedings.		

John Ditmars, Economic Development Commission President

VI. Additional Information for the Common Council