### Memorandum

To: City of Franklin Economic Development Commission

From: Krista Linke, Community Development Director

**Date:** April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2013

Re: Case C 2013-24: Indiana Hydraulic Equipment Corp. (Formerly Nishina)

#### **Summary:**

1. On October 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2006, the Franklin Common Council passed Resolution No. 2006-08, approving a 10-year tax abatement on real property and a 10-year tax abatement on personal property for Indiana Hydraulic Equipment Corporation (formerly Nishina Industries) located at 2000 Commerce Parkway (Musicland Drive was renamed to Commerce Parkway in 2010).

2. Actual and estimated benefits, as projected for 2012:

	Estimated on SB-1	Actual in 2012	Difference
New Employees	34	24	-10
Salaries	\$1,320,000	\$1,096,469	-\$223,531
Average Hourly Salaries	\$18.67	\$21.96	\$3.30
Real Property Improvements	\$5,000,000	\$5,959,157	\$959,157
Personal Property Improvements	\$7,000,000	\$6,205,352	-\$794,648

- 3. This company has exceeded their estimate provided on their SB-1 Form for Real Property.
- 4. Their original estimate was to hire 7 employees in 2007, an additional 13 employees in 2008, and the remaining 14 in 2009, for a total of 34 employees. They reported 23 employees at the end of 2010. They increased to 25 at the end of 2011. Please see the Attachment to Form CF-1 for further explanation.
- 5. The average hourly salary exceeds their original estimate.
- 6. The company has not met their estimated amount for personal property investment. They increased from \$6,088,158 in 2011. Please see the Attachment to Form CF-1 for further explanation.
- The personal and real property tax abatements for Indiana Hydraulic Equipment Corporation are scheduled to expire in tax year 2017 payable 2018. The final compliance review will take place in 2018.

Staff Recommendation: Approval



### INDIANA HYDRAULIC EQUIPMENT, CORP.

February 19, 2013

Krista Linke, AICP
Director of Planning & Economic Development
City of Franklin
70 E. Monroe Street
Franklin, IN 46131

RE: 2012 Tax Abatement Compliance for Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp.

Dear Ms. Linke,

Please find attached Form CF-1/RE and CF-1/PP (Compliance with Statement of Benefits) regarding our compliance with the real and personal property tax abatements which were granted to Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. (IHC) through Nishina Industrial Co., Ltd. in 2006 by the Franklin Common Council in Resolution No. 2006-08.

As can be seen from reviewing the enclosed documents, IHC was successful in maintaining the projected capital investment in the area of "Property" and "Buildings and Improvements". IHC continues to work at reaching the proposed amount for "Machinery and Equipment. " We are also in the process of procuring some additional IT equipment in 2013. IHC currently employs 24 of the estimated 34 Associates projected on the SB-1.

Please review the attached documents and feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this matter at 317-736-2532.

Thank you again for your consideration of our report and your continuing support of Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp.

Sincerely,

Dean L. Isley

Asst. Plant Manager

Dean L. Asley



# COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS REAL ESTATE IMPROVEMENTS

State Form 51766 (R2 / 1-07)

Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance

20/2 PAY 20/3

FORM CF-1 / Real Property

#### **PRIVACY NOTICE**

The cost and any specific individual's salary information is confidential; the balance of the filing is public record per IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.1 (c) and (d).

This statement is being completed for real property that qualifies under the following Indiana Code (check one box	):
Redevelopment or rehabilitation of real estate improvements (IC 6-1.1-12.1-4)	

Ligible vacant building (IC 6-1.1-12.1-4.8)

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. This form does not apply to property located in a residentially distressed area. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-2 (b))
- Property owners must file this form with the County Auditor and the Designating Body for their review regarding the compliance of the project with the Statement of Benefits (SB-1 / Real Property).
- 3. This form must accompany the initial deduction application that is filed with the County Auditor.
- 4. Property owners whose Statement of Benefits was approved after June 30, 1991, must file an updated form with the County Auditor and the local Designating Body to show the extent to which there has been compliance with the Statement of Benefits. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.1)
- 5. The updated form must be filed annually by May 15, or by the due date for the real property owner's personal property return that is filed in the township where the project is located, whichever is later. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.1 (b))
- 6. With the approval of the Designating Body, compliance information for multiple projects may be consolidated on one (1) compliance form (CF-1 / Real Property).

SECTION 1	TAXPAYER INFORMATION		
Name of taxpayer			
Indiana Hydrau li Address of taxpayer (number and street, cit), state, and	C Earlisment, Corp		
Address of taxpayer (number and street, city, state, and	ZIP code) U Y		
2000 Commerce	Parkway Franklin, In	46131	
Name of contact nerson		Telephone nur	
Dean Isl			34-2532
SECTION 2	LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPER		
Name of designating body	anklin Common Council	Resolution nu	
Location of property	MCIA COMMON GUITCH		006-08
2000 Annuary Profess	$4/2$ $T_{\alpha}$ $4/\alpha/31$ $T/\alpha$	DLGF taxing d	ristrict number
Description of real property improvements:	Klin, In 46131 Johnson	7 Estimated star	ting date (month, day, year)
Construction of a new manufaction	icturing facility consisting of a find 55,000 sq. ft. of manufacturing	_ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	-/01/2006
LEED SO PORT of Mar & DOCE OF	The state of a state o	Preximately Estimated com	pletion date (month, day, year)
warehouse space.	ic 55,000 Sg. Ft. of Manufacturing	$\frac{1}{1}$	10/2007
SECTION 3	EMPLOYEES AND SALARIES	(1)	,0,00
EMPLOYE	ES AND SALARIES	AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1	ACTUAL
Current number of employees		34	24
Salaries		\$1,320,000.00	\$ 1,096,464.26
Number of employees retained			
Salaries			
Number of additional employees		34	24
Salaries		\$ 1,320,000,00	11,096,469.26
SECTION 4	COST AND VALUES		
COST AND VALUES	REAL ESTAT	E IMPROVEMENTS	A AN A RECORD BEEN A
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1	COST	ASSESSE	ED VALUE
Values before project	# 190,000,00		
Plus: Values of proposed project	8 5,000,000		
Less: Values of any property being replaced	# F ICH ON EC		
Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL	# 5,190,000 . FC COST	ASSESSE	D VALUE
Values before project	\$ 190,000	ASSESSE	D AMENE
Plus: Values of proposed project	4 5,459,157		
Less: Values of any property being replaced			
Net values upon completion of project	4 6.149.157		
	IVERTED AND OTHER BENEFITS PROMISED B	THE TAXPAYER	
WASTE CONVERTED A		AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1	ACTUAL
Amount of solid waste converted		Ø	Ø
Amount of hazardous waste converted		Ø	Ø
Other benefits:		Ø	0
SECTION 6	TAXPAYER CERTIFICATION		
i he	eby certify that the representations in this statemen	t are true.	
Signature of authorized representative	Title		nonth, day, year)
Alon of Asley	Asst. Plant M		-2013
The state of the s	1 Application /	jer   a 17	

# OPTIONAL: FOR USE BY A DESIGNATING BODY WHO ELECTS TO REVIEW THE COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS (FORM CF-1) THAT WAS APPROVED AFTER JUNE 30, 1991

INSTRUCTIONS: (IC 6-1.1-12-5.1)

- 1. This page does not apply to a Statement of Benefits filed before July 1, 1991; that deduction may not be terminated for a failure to comply with the Statement of Benefits.
- 2. Within forty-five (45) days after receipt of this form, the designating body may determine whether or not the property owner has substantially complied with the Statement of Benefits.
- If the property owner is found NOT to be in substantial compliance, the designating body shall send the property owner written notice. The notice must include
  the reasons for the determination and the date, time and place of a hearing to be conducted by the designating body. A copy of the notice will be sent to the
  County Auditor.
- 4. Based on the information presented at the hearing, the designating body shall determine whether or not the property owner has made reasonable effort to substantially comply with the Statement of Benefits.
- 5. If the designating body determines that the property owner has NOT made reasonable effort to comply, then the designating body shall adopt a resolution terminating the deduction. The designating body shall immediately mail a certified copy of the resolution to: (1) the property owner and (2) the County Auditor.

We have reviewed the CF-1 and find that:		
the property owner <b>IS</b> in substantial compliance		
the property owner IS NOT in substantial compliance		
other (specify)		<del></del>
Reasons for the determination (attach additional sheets if necessary)		<del></del>
Signature of authorized member	Date signed (month, day, year)	
Attested by:	Designating body	
If the property owner is found not to be in substantial compliance, t time has been set aside for the purpose of considering compliance	the property owner shall receive the opportunity for a hearing. The following date and e.	
Time of hearing AM Date of hearing (month, day, year)	Location of hearing	-
HEARING RESULT	TS (to be completed after the hearing)	
Approved	Denied (see instruction 5 above)	
Reasons for the determination (attach additional sheets if necessary)		
Signature of authorized member	Date signed (month, day, year)	
Attested by:	Designating body	
APPEAL F	RIGHTS [IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9(e)]	
A property owner whose deduction is denied by the designating bo	ody may appeal the designating body's decision by filling a complaint in the office of the pay the costs of the appeal if the appeal is determined against the property owner.	·

### **COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS** PERSONAL PROPERTY State Form 51765 (R / 1-06) Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance

FORM CF-1/PP

- INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Property owners whose Statement of Benefits was approved must file this form with the local Designating Body to show the extent to which there has been compliance with the Statement of Benefits. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.6)
  - 2. This form must be filed with the Form 103-ERA Schedule of Deduction from Assessed Value between March 1, and May 15, of each year, unless a filing extension under IC 6-1.1-3.7 has been granted. A person who obtains a filing extension must file between March 1, and the extended due date of each year.
  - 3. With the approval of the designating body, compliance information for multiple projects may be consolidated on one (1) compliance

SECTION 1		TAXPAYER	INFORMAT	TON	260000000			
Name of tourseas								
Address of taxpayer (number and street, city, state, and ZIP code)  Address of taxpayer (number and street, city, state, and ZIP code)								
2000 Commerce Parkway Franklin, In 44131								
Name of contact person Telephone number								
Dean Isley 317-734-2532								
SECTION 2		ON AND DES	CRIPTION C	F PROPERTY				
Name of designating body	***************************************	<i>(</i> )	ì	and distribution and activities of the state of		Resolution nu	ımber	
City of Franklin C	mmen	Counci	/			2006	0-0B	
Location of property		1/0 . In	Coun				district number	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2000 Commerce Parkway	Frank			Johnson				
Description of new manufacturing equipment, or new re equipment, or new logistical distribution equipment to be			ent, or new inf	formation technolo		Estimated sta	rting date (moni	th, day, year)
Construction of a new facility	(approx	62,000 sq	ware beet	) of object	24			nonth, day, year)
	oduce Hu		entrol 1	Values.		12/31/	2008	
SECTION 3	TOTAL CONTRACTOR CONTR	EMPLOYEES	AND SALA	RIE\$				
	ES AND SALA	ARIES				IMATED ON	SB-1	ACTUAL
Current number of employees				-		34	, a	24
Salaries	***************************************		***************************************		4/,3	20,000.00	4/,09	16,469,26
Number of employees retained								
Salaries						<u> </u>		7/
Number of additional employees 34 Salaries £ /					7 320,000 /	<u> 3</u>	1	
SECTION 4		COSTA	ND VALUES		P//	320,000	M1,04	6,449,26
		CTURING PMENT	te en elle ette elle metape mente	QUIPMENT	LOGIS EQUII	ST DIST PMENT	IT EQU	IPMENT
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1	COST	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE	te en elle ette elle metape mente		LOGIS EQUII COST	ASSESSED VALUE	IT EQU	ASSESSED VALUE
	COST	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED	R&DEC	ASSESSED		ASSESSED		ASSESSED
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project	COST Ø 47,000,000	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE	R & D EC	ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED		ASSESSED VALUE
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced	COST  # 7,000,000	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE  Ø  # 2,800,000 Ø	R&DEC COST Ø Ø	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  Ø	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project	COST Ø 47,000,000	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE  Ø  B 2,800,000 Ø  # 2,800,000	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  * Ø  \$ 280,000
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced	COST  # 7,000,000	CTURING PMENT ASSESSED VALUE  Ø  # 2,800,000 Ø	R&DEC COST Ø Ø	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  Ø	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000	ASSESSED VALUE  B Z80,000
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  COST	CTURING PMENT  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE	R&DEC COST Ø Ø Ø Ø	ASSESSED VALUE   ASSESSED VALUE   ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  Ø  Ø  COST	ASSESSED VALUE	# 700,000 # 700,000 # 8700,000 COST	ASSESSED VALUE  Ø \$ 280,000  Ø \$ 280,000 ASSESSED
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  COST	CTURING PMENT  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  Ø  Ø  COST	ASSESSED VALUE	# 700,000 # 700,000 Ø & 700,000 COST	ASSESSED VALUE  * Ø  \$ 280,000  Ø  \$ 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 1,000,000  COST  # 4,205,352	CTURING PMENT  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,600,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 0	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  Ø  Ø  Ø  Ø	ASSESSED VALUE  B B B B B ASSESSED VALUE  B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 1,000,000  COST  # 4, 215, 352  # 4, 215, 352	ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  Ø  Ø  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  B B B B B ASSESSED VALUE  B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  6 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # ################################
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NoTE: The COST of the property is confidential	# 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  # 1,005,352  # 1,205,352  pursuant to IC	CTURING PMENT  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 6-1.1-12.1-5.4	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  V  V  V  ASSESSED VALUE  V  ASSESSED VALUE  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NoTE: The COST of the property is confidential	# 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  # 7,000,000  # 1,005,352  # 1,205,352  pursuant to IC	CTURING PMENT  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 6-1.1-12.1-5.4	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  V  V  V  ASSESSED VALUE  V  ASSESSED VALUE  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CO	# 7,000,000 P # 7,000 P # 7,	ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,600,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,601,000  # 2,601,	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST  COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  V  V  V  ASSESSED VALUE  V  ASSESSED VALUE  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  6 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of proposed project  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of solid waste converted	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 200,000  COST  # 4, 205, 352  # 4, 205,352  pursuant to IC	ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,600,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,601,000  # 2,601,	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST  COST  COST  AS ESTIMA	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  6 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of solid waste converted  Amount of hazardous waste converted	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 200,000  COST  # 4, 205, 352  # 4, 205,352  pursuant to IC	ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,600,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,601,000  # 2,601,	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  6 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of proposed project  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of solid waste converted	EQUII  COST  # 7,000,000  # 200,000  COST  # 4, 205, 352  # 4, 205,352  pursuant to IC	ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,600,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,601,000  # 2,601,	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST  COST  COST  AS ESTIMA	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  # 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of solid waste converted  Amount of hazardous waste converted	EQUING COST  A 7,000,000  A 7,000,000  COST  A 100,305,352  A 105,352  Pursuant to IC  NVERTED AND OTHER	ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,600,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,601,000  # 2,601,	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST  COST  COST  AS ESTIMA	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  6 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of solid waste converted  Other benefits:	EQUIDATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	CTURING PMENT  ASSESSED VALUE  # 2,800,000  # 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # 6-1.1-12.1-5.0  ID OTHER BE R BENEFITS	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST  COST  COST  AS ESTIMA	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  # 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  # 280,000  # 280,000  ASSESSED VALUE  # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidential  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTE  Amount of solid waste converted  Amount of hazardous waste converted  Other benefits:	EQUIDATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	ASSESSED VALUE  B 2,800,000  B 2,800,000  ASSESSED VALUE  D  C 6-1.1-12.1-5.0  D OTHER BER BENEFITS  TAXPAYER C	R&DEC	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST  COST  COST  AS ESTIMA  COST  C	ASSESSED VALUE	COST  # 700,000  # 700,000  COST  # 376,748  # 376,748	ASSESSED VALUE  BENEFIT OF THE PROPERTY OF T

## OPTIONAL: FOR USE BY A DESIGNATING BODY WHO ELECTS TO REVIEW THE COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS (FORM CF-1) THAT WAS APPROVED AFTER JUNE 30, 1991.

INSTRUCTIONS: (IC 6-1.1-12-5.9)

- 1. This page does not apply to a Statement of Benefits filed before July 1, 1991; that deduction may not be terminated for a failure to comply with the Statement of Benefits.
- Within forty-five (45) days after receipt of this form, the designating body may determine whether or not the property owner has substantially complied with the Statement of Benefits.
- 3. If the property owner is found NOT to be in substantial compliance, the designating body shall send the property owner written notice. The notice must include the reasons for the determination and the date, time and place of a hearing to be conducted by the designating body. If a notice is mailed to a property owner, a copy of the written notice will be sent to the Township Assessor and the County Auditor.
- 4. Based on the information presented at the hearing, the designating body shall determine whether or not the property owner has made reasonable effort to substantially comply with the Statement of Benefits.
- 5. If the designating body determines that the property owner has NOT made reasonable effort to comply, then the designating body shall adopt a resolution terminating the deduction. The designating body shall immediately mail a certified copy of the resolution to: (1) the property owner; (2) the County Auditor; and (3) the Township Assessor.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
We have reviewed the CF-1 and find that:		and the second of the second o
the property owner IS in substantial compliance		
the property owner IS NOT in substantial compliance		
other (specify)	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
Reasons for the determination (attach additional sheets if necessary)		
(dies. desire de la communicación de la commun		
		Data signed (morth, day, 1994)
Signature of authorized member		Date signed (month, day, year)
Attested by:	Designating body	
Time of hearing AM Date of hearing (month, day, year) Lo	S (to be completed after the hearing)	
Approved	Denied (see instruction 5 above	)
Reasons for the determination (attach additional sheets if necessary)		
Signature of authorized member		Date signed (month, day, year)
Attested by:	Designating body	
APPEAL R	IGHTS [IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9(e)]	
A property owner whose deduction is denied by the designating boo Circuit or Superior Court together with a bond conditioned to pa	dy may appeal the designating body's decision	n by filing a complaint in the office of the

Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. 2000 Commerce Parkway Franklin, IN 46131 2/19/2013

Attachment to Form CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits)
Construction & Occupancy Status Report - 2012

Construction of the new Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. (IHC) manufacturing facility was started with a ground breaking ceremony on May 7, 2007. By January 18, 2008 construction was substantially complete.

The inspection of the facility for Certificate of Occupancy was completed January 18, 2008 and the IHC staff began to move in the offices on January 21, 2008.

Receipt and unpacking of the new manufacturing equipment began from February 4, 2008 with the equipment setting and utilities completed by February 29, 2008.

Adjustments were completed by the end of March 2008 and initial trials were started from the first of April 2008. IHC Associates began training on the equipment from April 28, 2008. First production trials were on May 19, 2008 and IHC began shipping product to our customer on June 2, 2008.

,		
	•	

Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. 2000 Commerce Parkway Franklin, IN 46131 2/19/2013

Attachment to Form CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits)
Personal Property Listing - 2012

The attached 4 pages list the personal property which is presently installed and for which the original abatement deduction was granted.

		·	

Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. 2000 Commerce Parkway Franklin, In. 46131

Attachment to Form CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits) Expansion Investment Schedule by Quarter - 2012

	Actual Amount of Investment as of	Proposed Amount of Total Investment by 11/30/2007	Actual	Amount of Investment Added During Year (by Quarter)	dded During Year (I	y Quarter)	Actual Total Amount of	Difference Between Actual and Proposed
	12/31/2011	(From SB-1)	3/31/2012	6/30/2012	9/30/2012	12/30/2012	12/31/2012	Amount of Investment
Property	\$ 687,822.00	\$ 190,000.00	ب	-	↔	<del>.</del>	\$ 687.822.00	\$ 497 822 00
Buildings and Improvements	\$ 5,449,664.00	\$ 5,000,000.00	\$ 11,671.00	· •	. ↔	Ф	- 45	
Totals (A)	\$ 6,137,486.00	\$ 5,190,000.00	\$ 11,671,00	, ea	·			
					<b>&gt;</b>	÷	0,149,137.00	\$ 959,157.00
	Actual Amount of Investment as of	Proposed Amount of Total Investment by 11/30/2007	Actual	Amount of Investment Added During Year (by Quarter)	dded During Year (l	y Quarter)	Actual Total Amount of	Difference Between Actual and Proposed
	12/31/2011	(From SB-1)	3/31/2012	6/30/2012	9/30/2012	12/30/2012	Investment as of 12/31/2012	Amount of Investment
Machinery and Equipment	\$ 6,088,157.65	\$ 7,000,000.00	\$ 8,905.59	\$ 62,045.72	\$	\$ 46.242.74	\$ 6 205 351 70	(20 FA FAT
IT Equipment	\$ 376,748.00	\$ 700,000.00	, &	· <del>v</del>	·			
Totals (B)	\$ 6,464,905.65	\$ 7,700,000.00	\$ 8,905,59	62,045.72	. &	46,242	\$ 6,582,099.70	\$ (323,252.00) \$ (1.117,900.30)

.

Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. 2000 Commerce Parkway Franklin, In. 46131

Attachment to Form CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits) Job Creation Schedule by Quarter - 2012

rence Between	Actual and Proposed	ei oi Ellipioyees
Actual Total Number   Difference Between	of Employees as of Actual and Proposed	
Quarter	12/31/2012	-
ded During the Year by	9/30/2012	0
Actual Number of Employees Added During the Year by Quarter	6/30/2012	0
Actual No	3/31/2012	0
Proposed Total Number of Employees	by 12/31/08 (From SB-1)	34
<b></b>	12/31/2011	25

			,		

Indiana Hydraulic Equipment, Corp. (IHC) 2000 Commerce Parkway Franklin, IN 46131 2/19/2013

Attachment to Form CF-1 (Compliance with Statement of Benefits)

Comments concerning Expansion Investment Schedule and Job Creation Schedule - 2012

As of December 31, 2011, \$6,205,352 of the estimated \$7,000,000.00 "Machinery & Equipment" investment has been achieved. The discrepancy is due to the difference between the projected equipment and installation estimate and the actual equipment procurement and installation cost.

As of December 31, 2011, \$376,748.00 of the estimated \$700,000.00 "IT Equipment" investment has been achieved. The last IT equipment purchased for IHC was in 2008; IHC intends to purchase some additional IT equipment in 2013.

Currently IHC is able to employ 24 Associates as opposed to 34 which were originally projected on the SB-1. It should be noted that in 2012, IHC paid its Associates on average \$21.96 per hour with salary and comprehensive benefits; this exceeds the SB-1 projection of \$18.67 per hour for 34 Associates. IHC reduced manpower by (1) Associate in the last quarter of 2012 through attrition. Due to increased process efficiency and current production targets, IHC found it unnecessary to back fill the position at this time.

As we continue to address those concerns of which we have control over, we hope to have them resolved as we move into the future. It is IHC's desire and request that the City of Franklin will look favorably upon our activities and grant us an extension of time to be in full compliance with our tax abatement commitments.