

# **CITY OF FRANKLIN**

### **Community Development Department**

## Memorandum

City of Franklin Economic Development Commission

From: Rhoni Oliver, Community Development Specialist

**Date:** March 8, 2019

**Re:** Case C 2019-46: BPRex Healthcare Packaging Inc. (formerly Rexam)

#### **Summary:**

1. On March 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2010, the Franklin Common Council passed Resolution No. 2010-05, approving a 10-year tax abatement on personal property for Rexam Consumer Plastics, Inc., located 1900 Commerce Parkway (formerly Musicland Drive). In 2012 City Council passed Resolution 2012-08 to allow an amended SB-1 Form with an increased personal property amount to \$17,797,000 from \$14,500,000 that was originally estimated in 2010. In 2013 Rexam was granted a waiver of non-compliance through Resolution 2013-23, due to the fact that they failed to account for the tax abatement deduction when they filed their tax return. The City Council granted the waiver, allowing them to file an amended tax return claiming the deduction. Rexam was granted another amendment to their abatement in 2014. Their completion date was extended to 10/31/12 and 108 job retained with salaries of \$5,849,113.44 and 85 additional jobs with \$3,424,844.38 in salaries. The current value of manufacturing equipment was also adjusted from \$14,530,000 to \$36,348,981. The proposed project amount was also increased to \$22,834,940.

2. Actual and estimated benefits, as projected for 2018:

	Estimated on SB-1	Actual in 2018	Difference
Employees Retained	108	108	0
Salaries	\$5,849,113	\$5,849,113	\$0
New Employees	85	102	17
Salaries	\$3,424,844	\$4,483,569	\$1,058,725
Total Employees	193	210	17
Total Salaries	\$9,273,957	\$10,332,682	\$1,058,725
Average Hourly Salaries	\$23.10	\$23.66	\$0.55
Personal Property Improvements	\$22,832,940	\$22,834,940	\$2,000

- 3. Rexam was purchased in 2014 and is now BPRex Healthcare Packaging, Inc.
- 4. In 2016 the total number of employees exceeded the SB-1 estimate by 8, and the average hourly salary met the estimate. In 2017 the total number of employees exceeded the estimate by 4, and total salaries were \$488,983 over. BPRex exceeds SB-1 estimates by 17 employees and meets salary estimates.
- 5. Personal property improvements meet the SB-1 estimate.
- 6. The tax abatement for Rexam is scheduled to expire in tax year 2020 payable 2021. The final compliance review will take place in 2021.

Staff Recommendation: Approval

#### FORM CF-1/PP



#### **COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS** PERSONAL PROPERTY

State Form 51765 (R2 / 5-13)

Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance

- INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Property owners whose Statement of Benefits was approved must file this form with the local Designating Body to show the extent to which there has been compliance with the Statement of Benefits. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.6)
  - 2. This form must be filed with the Form 103-ERA Schedule of Deduction from Assessed Value between March 1, and May 15, of each year, unless a filing extension under IC 6-1.1-3.7 has been granted. A person who obtains a filing extension must file between March 1, and the extended due date of each year.
  - 3. With the approval of the designating body, compliance information for multiple projects may be consolidated on one (1) compliance

SECTION 1		TAXPAYE	RINFORMA	TION					
Name of taxpayer									
BPRex Healthcare Packaging, Inc.									
Address of taxpayer (number and street, city, state, ar	nd ZIP code)		***************************************						
P.O. Box 959, Evansville, IN 47706-095	9								
Name of contact person							Telephone number		
Jason Humphrey, VP - Tax						( 812 )	424-2904	1	
SECTION 2 Name of designating body	1,00/411	ON AMODES	eriemeni	OF PROPERT	Υ				
City of Franklin, IN						Resolution nun	nber		
Location of property						2014-03			
1000 Common Dilius Franklik IN 10101						DLGF taxing district number			
Description of new manufacturing equipment, or new research and development equipment, or new information technology						41009 Estimated starting date (month, day, year)			
equipment, or new logistical distribution equipment to Injection Blow molding operations, system	be acquired,			mormation techni	Diogy	Esumated start	o2/01/20		
	, ,					Estimated comp	oletion date 10/31/20	(month, day, year)	
SECTION 3		EMPLOYEES	ANDSAL	ABIES			10/3 //20	112	
	EES AND SALA	uvienmikseelisekteimseteliseitekteidittiks		11/1155	AC EC	TIMATED ON S	SB 4	ACTION	
Current number of employees					ASES		<del></del>	ACTUAL	
Salaries							3.00	210.00	
Number of employees retained						5,849,113		10,332,682.00	
Salaries				····		108		210.00	
Number of additional employees		<del>"</del>				5,849,113	5.00	10,332,682.00	
Salaries						3,424,844		102.00 4,483,569.00	
SECTION 4		COSTAI	VD VALUES			0,721,044	.00	4,405,605,6	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	MANUEA	CTURING	R&DEQUIPMENT LOGS			ST DIST IT EQUIPMENT			
			R&DE	QUIPMENT	FOLI	DMENT	ITE	QUIPMENT	
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1		MENT ASSESSED VALUE	R&DE	ASSESSED VALUE	EQUI COST	PMENT ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED	
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1 Values before project	COST	ASSESSED		ASSESSED	EQUI	PMENT	<u> </u>	ACCECCED	
	EQUIF	ASSESSED		ASSESSED	EQUI	PMENT ASSESSED	<u> </u>	ASSESSED	
Values before project	COST 36,348,981	ASSESSED		ASSESSED	EQUI	PMENT ASSESSED	<u> </u>	ASSESSED	
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project	COST 36,348,981	ASSESSED		ASSESSED	EQUI	PMENT ASSESSED	<u> </u>	ASSESSED	
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced	EQUIF COST 36,348,981 22,834,940	ASSESSED		ASSESSED	EQUI	PMENT ASSESSED	<u> </u>	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project	EQUIF COST 36,348,98 1: 22,834,94(2: 59,183,92 1:	ASSESSED ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	PMENT ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL	EQUIF COST 36,348,98 1: 22,834,94(2: 59,183,92 1:	ASSESSED ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	PMENT ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project	EQUIF COST 36,348,98 1: 22,834,94(2: 59,183,92 1:	ASSESSED ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	PMENT ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project	EQUIF COST 36,348,98 12 22,834,94 12 59,183,92 12 COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	PMENT ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced	EQUIF COST 36,348,98 12 22,834,94 12 59,183,92 12 COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	PMENT ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia	EQUIF  COST  36,348,98 12 22,834,94 12 59,183,92 12 COST  I pursuant to IC	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia	EQUIF  COST  36,348,98 12  22,834,94 12  59,183,92 12  COST  I pursuant to IC  DNVERTED AN	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6  D OTHER BE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia  SECTION 5  WASTE CO	EQUIF  COST  36,348,98 12  22,834,94 12  59,183,92 12  COST  I pursuant to IC  DNVERTED AN	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6  D OTHER BE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTED  Amount of solid waste converted	EQUIF  COST  36,348,98 12  22,834,94 12  59,183,92 12  COST  I pursuant to IC  DNVERTED AN	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6  D OTHER BE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTER	EQUIF  COST  36,348,98 12  22,834,94 12  59,183,92 12  COST  I pursuant to IC  DNVERTED AN	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6  D OTHER BE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTED  Amount of solid waste converted	EQUIF  COST  36,348,98 12  22,834,94 12  59,183,92 12  COST  I pursuant to IC  DNVERTED AND OTHER	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6  D OTHER BE	COST  COST  G (d).  NEFITS PR	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  OMISED BY T	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTED  Amount of solid waste converted Amount of hazardous waste converted Other benefits:  SECTION 6  In hereby certify that the representations in this st	EQUIF	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6 DOTHER BEBENEFITS	COST  COST  G (d).  NEFITS PR	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  OMISED BY T	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	
Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  ACTUAL  Values before project  Plus: Values of proposed project  Less: Values of any property being replaced  Net values upon completion of project  NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia  SECTION 5  WASTE CONVERTED  Amount of solid waste converted  Amount of hazardous waste converted  Other benefits:  SECTION 6	EQUIF	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  6-1.1-12.1-5.6 DOTHER BEBENEFITS	COST  COST  G (d).  NEFITS PR	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  OMISED BY T	COST  COST  HE TAXPAY AS ESTIMA	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE	COST  COST	ASSESSED VALUE  ASSESSED VALUE  ACTUAL	

# OPTIONAL: FOR USE BY A DESIGNATING BODY WHO ELECTS TO REVIEW THE COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS (FORM CF-1) THAT WAS APPROVED AFTER JUNE 30, 1991.

INSTRUCTIONS: (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9)

- 1. This page does not apply to a Statement of Benefits filed before July 1, 1991; that deduction may not be terminated for a failure to comply with the Statement of Benefits.
- 2. Within forty-five (45) days after receipt of this form, the designating body may determine whether or not the property owner has substantially complied with the Statement of Benefits.
- 3. If the property owner is found **NOT** to be in substantial compliance, the designating body shall send the property owner written notice. The notice must include the reasons for the determination and the date, time and place of a hearing to be conducted by the designating body. If a notice is mailed to a property owner, a copy of the written notice will be sent to the Township Assessor and the County Auditor.
- 4. Based on the information presented at the hearing, the designating body shall determine whether or not the property owner has made reasonable effort to substantially comply with the Statement of Benefits.
- 5. If the designating body determines that the property owner has NOT made reasonable effort to comply, then the designating body shall adopt a resolution terminating the deduction. The designating body shall immediately mail a certified copy of the resolution to: (1) the property owner; (2) the County Auditor; and (3) the Township Assessor.

We have reviewed the CF-1 as	nd find that:			
the property owner IS in	substantial compliance			
the property owner IS NC	OT in substantial compliance			
other (specify)				
Reasons for the determination (attac	ch additional sheets if necessary)			
(-				
Signature of authorized member				Date signed (month, day, year)
Attested by:			Designating body	
If the property owner is found time has been set aside for th	not to be in substantial compliance e purpose of considering complian	e, the propertice.	y owner shall receive the oppor	rtunity for a hearing. The following date and
Time of hearing AM	Date of hearing (month, day, year)	Location of h	earing	
	HEARING RESU	LTS (to be d	ompleted after the hearing)	
	☐ Approved	Ε	Denied (see instruction 5 al	bove)
Reasons for the determination (attact	n additional sheets if necessary)			
Signature of authorized member				Date signed (month, day, year)
Attested by:			Designating body	
	APPEAL	RIGHTS [IC	6-1.1-12.1-5.9(e)]	
A property owner whose deduc	ction is denied by the designating b	oody may ap	peal the designating body's dec	cision by filing a complaint in the office of the