

# **CITY OF FRANKLIN**

Community Development Department

# Memorandum

To: City of Franklin Economic Development Commission

From: Rhoni Oliver, Community Development Specialist

Date: April 10, 2018

Re: Case C 2018-26: KYB Manufacturing North America

#### Summary:

- On October 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2006, the Franklin Common Council passed Resolution No. 2006-09, approving a 10-year tax abatement on real property and a 10-year tax abatement on personal property for KYB Manufacturing located at 2625 N. Morton Street.
- 2. Actual and estimated benefits, as projected for 2017:

	Estimated on SB-1	Actual in 2017	Difference
Employees Retained	660	660	0
Salaries	\$21,794,240	\$21,794,240	\$0
New Employees	51	27	(24)
Salaries	\$1,500,000	\$11,325,209	\$9,825,209
Total Employees	711	687	(24)
Total Salaries	\$23,294,240	\$33,119,449	\$9,825,209
Average Hourly Salaries	\$15.75	\$23.18	\$7.43
Personal Property Improvements	\$8,000,000	\$8,316,068	\$316,068
Real Property Improvements	\$16,000,000	\$18,112,347	\$2,112,347

- 3. The personal property investment exceeded the SB-1 estimate.
- 4. The company has exceeded their estimate provided on their SB-1 Form for real property.
- 5. The previous three years of the abatement, the company exceeded total employees. In 2017 they fell short by 24. Total salaries and the average hourly wages continue to exceed what was estimated on their SB-1 Form.
- 6. The tax abatements are scheduled to expire in tax year 2017 payable 2018. The final compliance review will take place in 2018.

Staff Recommendation: Approval

### COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS

PERSONAL PROPERTY

State Form 51765 (R4 / 11-16) Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance FORM CF-1 / PP

PRIVACY NOTICE This form contains information confidential pursuant to IC 6-1,1-35-9 and IC 6-1,1-12,1-5,6.

- INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Property owners whose Statement of Benefits was approved must file this form with the local Designating Body to show the extent to which there has been compliance with the Statement of Benefits. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.6)
  - This form must be filed with the Form 103-ERA Schedule of Deduction from Assessed Value between January 1, and May 15, of each year, unless a filing extension under 1C 6-1.1-3.7 has been granted. A person who obtains a filing extension must file between January 1, and the extended due date of each year.
  - 3. With the approval of the designating body, compliance information for multiple projects may be consolidated on one (1) compliance (CF-I).

SECTION 1		TA	XPAYER IN	ORMATION				
Name of taxpayer KYB MANUFACTURING NORTH	AMERICA,					County JOHN	SON	
Address of taxpayer (street and number, city,						DLGF ta	xing district n	umber
2625 MORTON STREET FRANK	LIN IN	46131				4100	9	
Name of contact person DOUG WIETEN							ne number 346-4858	3
SECTION 2	LC	CATION AND	DESCRIPTIC	N OF PROPERT	Y			
Name of designating body CITY OF FRANKLIN COMMON				Resolution numb 2006-09			istart date (n 1 / 2 0 0 6	nonth, day, year)
Location of property 2625 MORTON S FRANKLIN IN	TREET 46131					Actual sta 10/24	art date <i>(mont</i> 1/2006	h, day, year)
Description of new manufacturing equipment, o technology equipment, or new logistical distribution	r new research		nt equipment	, or new informati	ion	Estimated 03/01	l completion d	ate (month, day, year
SEE ATTACHED						Actual co 03/01	mpletion date /2009	(month, day, year)
SECTION 3		EMPLOY	EES AND S	ALARIES				فيرور والموالية والارتجاء
EMF	LOYEES AND	SALARIES				AS ESTIMATED ON	I \$B-1	ACTUAL
Current number of employees							660	687
Salaries						21,794,	240	33,119,449
Number of employees retained							660	660
Salaries						21,794,	240	21,794,240
Number of additional employees							51	27
Salaries	••					1,500,	000	11,325,209
SECTION 4		cos	T AND VALU	ES		<u>et i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e</u>		
	REALITA	CTUDING						1
		CTURING MENT	R&DE	QUIPMENT	L.	OGIST DIST	ITE	QUIPMENT
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1			R & D E COST	QUIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE	L E COS			QUIPMENT ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project	EQUIF	MENT ASSESSED		ASSESSED	E	ASSESSE		ASSESSED
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project	COST	MENT ASSESSED VALUE		ASSESSED	E	ASSESSE		ASSESSED
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced	EQUIF COST 39,214,363	MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14, 920, 379		ASSESSED	E	ASSESSE		ASSESSED
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project	EQUIF COST 39,214,363	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379		ASSESSED VALUE	E		COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000		ASSESSED	E	ASSESSE	COST	ASSESSED
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,863	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	E COS		COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	E COS		COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project	EQUIF COST 39,214,863 8,000,000 47,214,863 COST 102,989,253	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	E COS		COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project	EQUIF COST 39,214,863 8,000,000 47,214,863 COST 102,989,253	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	E COS		COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,863 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,205,321	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273 2,494,920 20,960,093	COST	ASSESSED VALUE	E COS		COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia SECTION 5 WAS	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,305,323 1 pursuant to IC STECONVERT	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273 2,494,820 20,960,093 6-1.1-12.1-5.6 ( ED AND OTHE	COST COST cost c). R BENEFITS	ASSESSED VALUE	COST	ASSESSEI ASSESSEI VALUE ASSESSEI VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia SECTION 5 WASS	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,305,323 1 pursuant to IC STECONVERT	MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 10,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273 2,494,920 28,960,093 6-1.1-12.1-5.6 (	COST COST cost c). R BENEFITS	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE	COST COST	ASSESSEI ASSESSEI VALUE ASSESSEI VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia SECTION 5 WAS	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,305,323 1 pursuant to IC STECONVERT	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273 2,494,820 20,960,093 6-1.1-12.1-5.6 ( ED AND OTHE	COST COST cost c). R BENEFITS	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE	COST COST	EQUIPMENT T ASSESSE VALUE T ASSESSE T ASSESSE VALUE VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia SECTION 5 WASTE CONV Amount of solid waste converted Amount of hazardous waste converted	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,305,323 1 pursuant to IC STECONVERT	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273 2,494,820 20,960,093 6-1.1-12.1-5.6 ( ED AND OTHE	COST COST cost c). R BENEFITS	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE	COST COST	EQUIPMENT T ASSESSE VALUE T ASSESSE T ASSESSE VALUE VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia SECTION 5 WASTE CONV Amount of solid waste converted	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,305,323 1 pursuant to IC STECONVERT	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14,920,379 3,200,000 18,120,379 ASSESSED VALUE 26,465,273 2,494,820 20,960,093 6-1.1-12.1-5.6 ( ED AND OTHE	COST COST cost c). R BENEFITS	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE	COST COST	EQUIPMENT T ASSESSE VALUE T ASSESSE T ASSESSE VALUE VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE
Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project ACTUAL Values before project Plus: Values of proposed project Less: Values of any property being replaced Net values upon completion of project NOTE: The COST of the property is confidentia SECTION 5 WASTE CONV Amount of solid waste converted Amount of hazardous waste converted	EQUIF COST 39,214,963 8,000,000 47,214,963 COST 102,989,253 8,316,068 111,305,321 I pursuant to IC STECONVERT /ERTED AND (	2MENT ASSESSED VALUE 14, 920, 379 3, 200, 000 18, 120, 379 ASSESSED VALUE 26, 465, 273 2,494, 920 20, 960, 093 6-1.1-12.1-5.6 ( ED AND OTHE DTHER BENEFI	COST COST cost c). R BENEFITS	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE	COST COST	EQUIPMENT T ASSESSE VALUE T ASSESSE T ASSESSE VALUE VALUE	COST	ASSESSED VALUE ASSESSED VALUE

INSTRUCTIONS: (IC 6-1.1-12-5.9)

1.	This page does not apply to a Statement of Benefits filed before July 1, 1991; that deduction may not be terminated for a failure to comply with the Statement of Benefits.
2.	Within forty-five (45) days after receipt of this form, the designating body may determine whether or not the property owner has substantially complied with the Statement of Benefits.

- 3. If the property owner is found NOT to be in substantial compliance, the designating body shall send the property owner written notice. The notice must include the reasons for the determination and the date, time and place of a hearing to be conducted by the designating body. If a notice is mailed to a property owner, a copy of the written notice will be sent to the County Assessor and the County Auditor.
- 4. Based on the information presented at the hearing, the designating body shall determine whether or not the property owner has made reasonable effort to substantially comply with the Statement of Benefits and whether any failure to substantially compy was caused by factors beyond the control of the property owner.
- 5. If the designating body determines that the property owner hasNOT made reasonable effort to comply, then the designating body shall adopt a resolution terminating the deduction. The designating body shall immediately mail a certified copy of the resolution to. (1) the property owner; (2) the County Auditor; and (3) the County Assessor.

We have reviewed the CF-1 and find that:						
the property owner IS in substantial compliance						
the property owner IS NOT in substantial compliance						
cther (specify)						
Reasons for the determination (attach additional sheets if necessary)	······································					
Signature of authorized member		Date signed (month, day, year)				
Attested by:	Designating body					
If the property owner is found not to be in substantial compliance, the proper following date and time has been set aside for the purpose of considering or the set as the purpose of considering or the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the set as the set as the purpose of considering or the set as the purpose of considering or the set as the purpose of considering or the purpo	erty owner shall receive the opportu compliance.	nity for a hearing. The				
Time of hearing $\Box_{AM}$ Date of hearing (month, day, year) $\Box_{PM}$	Location of hearing					
HEARING RESULTS (to be c	ompleted after the hearing)	an a				
	Denied (see insruction 5 above)					
Reasons for determination (attach additional sheets if necessary)						
Signature of authorized member		Date signed <i>(month, day, year)</i>				
Attested by:	Designating body	L				
APPEAL RIGHT	S [IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9(e)]					
A property owner whose deduction is denied by the designating body may appea Circuit or Superior Court together with a bond conditioned to pay the costs of the	al the designating body's decision by filin appeal if the appeal is determined again	g a complaint in the office of the ist the property owner.				

### KYB Manufacturing North America, Inc.

ł

:

## Equipment List For Abatement Attachment for SB-1/PP

Description	1	Amount	ECD
Assembly lines	\$	5,100,000	Jun-08
Welding line	\$	1,320,000	Jun-08
Cylinder and end cap lines	\$•	1,250,000	Jun-08
Plating line improvements	\$	125,000	Jun-08
Additional auxiliary equipment	\$	205,000	Mar-09
			• •
Total machinery & equipment	\$	8,000,000	

1 1

(° )

л.,

#### TOTAL PERSONAL PROPERTY \$ 8,000,000

#### COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS REAL ESTATE IMPROVEMENTS

State Form 51766 (R3 / 2-13) Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance

INSTRUCTIONS:

- This form does not apply to property located in a residentially distressed area or any deduction for which the Statement of Benefits was approved before July 1, 1991.
- Property owners must file this form with the county auditor and the designating body for their review regarding the compliance of the project with the Statement of Benefits (Form SB-1/Real Property).
   This form must accompany the initial deduction application (Form 322/RE) that is filed with the county auditor.
- This form must accompany the initial deduction application (Form 322/RE) that is filed with the county auditor.
   This form must also be updated each year in which the deduction is applicable. It is filed with the county auditor and the designating body before May 15, or by the due date of the real property owner's personal property return
- and the designating body before way 15, or by the declare of the fear property events's personal property retains that is filed in the township where the property is located. (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.1(b))
   With the approval of the designating body, compliance information for multiple projects may be consolidated on one (1) compliance form (Form CF-1/Real Property).

SECTION 1	ΤΑΧΡΑΥ	ER INFORMATION			
Name of taxpayer				County	
KYB MANUFACTURING NORTH AMERICA INC			JOHNSON		
Address of taxpayer (number and street, city, state and ZIP code)			DLGF taxing district number		
	2625 NORTH MORTON STREET FRANKLIN IN 46131			41009	
Name of contact person DOUG WIETEN				Telephone number (317) 346-4858	
SECTION 2	LOCATION AND DESC	RIPTION OF PROPERTY			
Name of designating body CITY OF FRANKLIN COMMON (	na anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-	Resolution number 2006-09			date (month, day, year) '24/2006
Location of property 2625 NORTH MORTON STREET	FRANKLIN IN 4613	31			e (month, day, year) 24/2006
Description of real property improvements:					etion date (month, day, year)
263,400SF addition to build:	ing, entry drive and p	barking			01/2009
enhancements, remodeling of	existing areas, addit	ional improvements		-	n date <i>(month, day, year)</i> 01/2009
SECTION 3	EMPLOYEES	AND SALARIES			
EMP	LOYEES AND SALARIES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AS EST	IMATED ON SB-1	ACTUAL
Current number of employees				660	687
Salaries			2	1,794,240	33,119,449
Number of employees retained				660	660
Salaries			2	1,794,240	21,794,240
Number of additional employees				51	27
Salaries				1,500,000	11,325,209
SECTION 4	COSTANE	VALUES			
COST AND VALUES		REAL ESTATE IMPROV	EMENTS		
AS ESTIMATED ON SB-1	COST			ASSESSED V	
Values before project	11,405,4			7,983,	
Plus: Values of proposed project	16,000,0	00		11,200,	000
Less: Values of any property being replaced					
Net values upon completion of project	27,405,4	28		19,183,	800
ACTUAL	COST			ASSESSED VA	ALUE
Values before project	11,405,4	28		5,215,	981
Plus: Values of proposed project	18,112,3	47		8,283,	219
Less: Values of any property being replaced					
Net values upon completion of project	29,517,7	75		13,499,	200
in a second s	TE CONVERTED AND OTHER BEN	EFITS PROMISED BY THE TA	XPAYER		ja se ja Se ja se j
WASTE CONV	ERTED AND OTHER BENEFITS		AS ESTI	MATED ON SB-1	ACTUAL
Amount of solid waste converted					
Amount of hazardous waste converted					
Other benefits:					
SECTION 6	TAXPAYER CE	and a second		المراجع ( المحمد) المراجع المحمد والمحمد	
Signature of authorized representative	Ti	ntations in this statement are tru the	I	Date signed (mor	
Kazı	<u>w,</u>	Sechetary/Theasu	ren	2/22/	2010

FORM CF-1 / Real Property

**PRIVACY NOTICE** 

The cost and any specific individual's selary information is confidential; the balance of the filing is public record per IC 6-1,1-12,1-5,1 (c) and (d).



	OPTIONAL: FOR USE BY A DESIGNATING BODY WHO ELECTS TO REVIEW THE COMPLIANCE WITH STATEMENT OF BENEFITS (FOR	M CF-1)
	THAT WAS APPROVED AFTER JUNE 30, 1991	
	THAT WAS AFFROVED AFTER JONE 30, 1991	

INSTRUCTIONS: (IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.1 and IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9)

1.	Not later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of this form, the designating bodymay	determine whether or not the property owner has substantially complied
	with the Statement of Benefits (Form SB-1/Real Property).	

2. If the property owner is found NOT to be in substantial compliance, the designating body shall send the property owner written notice. The notice must include the reasons for the determination and the date, time and place of a hearing to be conducted by the designating body. The date of this hearing may not be more than thirty (30) days after the date this notice is mailed. A copy of the notice may be sent to the county auditor and the county assessor.

- Based on the information presented at the hearing, the designating body shall determine whether or not the property owner has made reasonable efforts to substantially comply with the Statement of Benefits (Form SB-1/Real Property) and whether any failure to substantially comply was caused by factors beyond the control of the property owner.
- 4. If the designating body determines that the property owner hasNOT made reasonable efforts to comply, then the designating body shall adopt a resolution terminating the property owner's deduction. If the designating body adopts such a resolution, the deduction does not apply to the next installment of property taxes owed by the property owner or to any subsequent installment of property taxes. The designating body shall immediately mail a certified copy of the resolution to: (1) the property owner (2) the county auditor, o and (3) the county assessor.

Ve have reviewed the CF-1 and find that:						
the property owner IS in substantial compliance						
the property owner IS NOT in sub-	the property owner <b>IS NOT</b> in substantial compliance					
ther (specify)						
Reasons for the determination (attach ad	dditional sheets if necessary)					
Signature of authorized member						
Signature of authorized member			Date signed <i>(month, day, year)</i>			
Attested by:	······································	Designating body				
If the property owner is found not to t following date and time has been set	be in substantial compliance, the prop aside for the purpose of considering	perty owner shall receive the opportu	nity for a hearing. The			
Time of hearing	Date of hearing (month, day, year)	Location of hearing				
	HEARING RESULTS (to be	completed after the hearing)				
		Denied (see insruction 4 above)				
Reasons for determination (attach addition	nai sneets ir necessaryj					
Signature of authorized member			Date signed (month, day, year)			
Attested by:		Designating body	<b>.</b>			
	APPEAL RIGHT	I [S [IC 6-1.1-12.1-5.9(e)]				
A property owner whose deduction is Circuit or Superior Court together with	denied by the designating body may appent a bond conditioned to pay the costs of the	eal the designating body's decision by filir e appeal if the appeal is determined agai	ng a complaint in the office of the nst the property owner.			

## KYB Manufacturing North America, Inc.

### Real Estate Improvements Attachment for SB-1/RE

Description	Amount	ECD
264,300 sq ft addition	\$ 14,100,000	Apr-08
Entry drive and parking enhancements	\$ 500,000	Apr-08
Remodeling of existing areas	\$ 1,200,000	Apr-08
Additional improvements	\$ 200,000	Mar-09

Total real estate improvements \$ 16,000,000

TOTAL REAL PROPERTY 5\_16,000,000